

REMARKS

Please enter and consider the foregoing amendment. Claims 1-13 are pending in the application. Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter is introduced and that no additional search need be performed. In view of the foregoing amendment reconsideration and allowance of the presently pending claims is respectfully requested.

I. Objection to the Specification**A. Statement of the Objection**

The specification is objected to under MPEP § 608.01(b). The Office Action states that “[t]he abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be contained in a single paragraph.”

B. Discussion of the Objection

Applicant has amended to abstract of the disclosure to be a single paragraph. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn.

II. Response to 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph Rejection – Claims 1-13**A. Statement of the Rejection**

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Office Action states that the claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the invention. The Office Action states that “[c]laim 1 include the limitations “an evenly dispersed, uniform thickness particle coating over the light emitter, the surface of the projecting platform and the trough, the thickness of the particle coating being constant with respect to the light emitter, the surface of the projecting platform and the trough.”” The Office Action continues stating that “there is no basis in the original disclosure for the recitation of “uniform thickness particle coating over the surface of the projecting platform and the trough, the thickness of the particle coating being constant with respect to the light emitter, the surface of the projecting platform and the trough.”” (Emphasis in original).

B. Discussion of the Rejection

To place the claims in condition for allowance, Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to remove the recitation of the "surface of the projecting platform and the trough."

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-13 are in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, and request that the rejection be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In summary, Applicant respectfully requests that all outstanding claim rejections be withdrawn. Applicant respectfully submits that presently pending claims 1-13 are allowable and that the present application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner have any comment regarding the Applicant's response or believe that a teleconference would expedite prosecution of the pending claims, Applicant requests that the Examiner telephone Applicant's undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

**SMITH FROHWEIN TEMPEL
GREENLEE BLAHA LLC**

By: 

Michael J. Tempel
Registration No. 41,344
(770) 709-0056